	UNITED	STATES DISTRICT	COURT IN OPEN COURT
		for the	DATE 2/16/12
	V	Vestern District of Virginia	BY K Daken
Sc	United States of America v. OH Bradley mus Defendant))) Case No. 5	HARRISONBURG DIVISION, W.D. of VA
	DETEN	TION ORDER PENDING TR	RIAL
require	After conducting a detention hearing use that the defendant be detained pending		.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
□ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offen	Part I—Findings of Fact se described in 18 U.S.C. § 314	2(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
	of \square a federal offense \square a state	or local offense that would have	been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is		
	 a crime of violence as defined if a crime of violence as defined if a crime is 10 		ffense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)
	☐ an offense for which the maxim	num sentence is death or life imp	prisonment.
	☐ an offense for which a maximu	m prison term of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in
			.*
	a felony committed after the de described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(wo or more prior federal offenses e or local offenses:
	☐ any felony that is not a crime o	f violence but involves:	
	☐ a minor victim		
	☐ the possession or use of a f	irearm or destructive device or a	any other dangerous weapon
	☐ a failure to register under 1		
□ (2)	the state of the s		
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has	elapsed since the	conviction
- (-)	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).		
□ (4)	Findings Nos (1) (2) and (3) establi	sh a rebuttable presumption that r	no condition will reasonably assure the safety ant has not rebutted this presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)	:
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe	that the defendant has committe	d an offense
	☐ for which a maximum prison to	erm of ten years or more is preso	cribed in
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by
convincin	g evidence a preponderance of the evidence that
`	The defendant does not seek release at this time.
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention
in a corre pending a order of U	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement ctions facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On Jnited States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility ver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance. Judge's Signature James G. Welsh, U.S. Magistrate Judge
	Name and Title